UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,852	12/07/2006	Satoshi Matsuura	060197	9245
23850 7590 09/12/2008 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KUANG Y	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,852	MATSUURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kuang Y. Lin	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut-Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowate closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	own from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite accomposite accomposite accomposite and accomposite accorposite accomposite accomposite accomposite accomposite accomposi	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/572,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2006/0021728 to Gadow et al.

Gadow et al. show to produce a reinforced component from a MMC by the steps of producing a billet by mixing a metal matrix and particles of ceramic reinforcing material (see, for example, [0012], [0062-[0066], [0095]); heating the billet into a specific temperature (see, for example, [0013], [0073]); placing the billet in a mold and pressure forming the reinforced component by a punch advanced toward the die at a speed between 10 mm/sec and 300 mm/sec (see, for example, [0074] and [0099]). Thus, Gadow et al. substantially show the invention as claimed except that they do not mention the relative flow between the matrix material and

Application/Control Number: 10/572,852

Art Unit: 1793

the reinforcing material. Even though they do not disclose the relative flow between the matrix material and the reinforcing material, it is obvious that some degree of relative flow between those two materials must have happened since they using the same punch advancing speed as claimed by applicant during thixoforming process. With respect to claim 2, it is conventional to provide a billet having a height varying from one portion to another for forming a article of complex shape (see, for example, figure 15 of US 6,240,827 to Koike et al.) With respect to claim 3, it is conventional to use split die for thixoforming process (see, for example, figures 8A-8D and 16A-16F of US 2001/0039710 to Nakao et al.) With respect to claim 4, it is a common practice to either preheat or provide insulating material in the die for preventing premature solidification of the forming material). With respect to claim 6, the optimal preheating temperature for the billet depending on the composition thereof and it would have been obvious to obtain the optimal preheating temperature through a routine experimentation.

Page 3

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica L. Ward can be reached on 571-272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,852 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kuang Y. Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

9/10/08